

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO), F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/755,467		01/05/2001	Robert J. Fletcher	SW7181US	SW7181US 4998	
22203	7590	11/04/2004		EXAMINER		
	& JAFFE		HEWITT II, CALVIN L			
	ND PLACE SON MILL	SUITE 310 S ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HIGHLAN	ND HEIGH	TS, OH 44143		3621		

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• /	Application No.	Applicant(s)	m
Advisory Action	09/755,467	FLETCHER ET AL.	<u> </u>
	Examiner	Art Unit	
<i>y</i>	Calvin L Hewitt II	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2004 FAILS TO F Therefore, further action by the applicant is require final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eit condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	ed to avoid abandonment of this her: (1) a timely filed amendme Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	s application. A proper reply to a not which places the application	a in
<u>PERIOD F</u>	OR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the ma b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REP 706.07(f). 	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date y expire later than SIX MONTHS from t	he mailing date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	period of extension and the correspon date of the shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after	ding amount of the fee. The appropriat for reply originally set in the final Office	te extension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid disr	n the period set forth in nissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be ent	ered because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require	e further consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see	Note below);	·	
(c) they are not deemed to place the applic issues for appeal; and/or	cation in better form for appeal t	by materially reducing or simplify	ing the
(d) they present additional claims without of	canceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	`		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	g rejection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	would be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely filed ame	ndment
5. The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requapplication in condition for allowance becau	est for reconsideration has bee se: צב ביי לוחי אליי לאנים ל	n considered but does NOT pla	ce the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ed because it is not directed SC		
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amen explanation of how the new or amended cla 	idment(s) a)⊡ will not be enter ims would be rejected is provid	ed or b)⊡ will be entered and a ed below or appended.	n
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as fo	llows:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)		red by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Sta			_
0. Other:	acomonito) (110 1440) Laper (JAMES P. THAMMELL	
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM TECHNOLOGY COUTER 33	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: As the Applicant noted in Applicant's response dated 5-28-04, the Examiner suggested to the Applicant to amend the claims to include a step for detecting the presence of a narcotic or other controlled substances. The Examiner has yet to see such an amendment. Therefore, the prior art relied upon by the Examiner continues to read on the Applicant's claims.